

- You may not use anything except for a single **two-sided** *handwritten (by you)* note sheet of US letter size (8.5 x 11 inches) paper. You may not collaborate with anyone, Google for answers, use ChatGPT, etc...
- If you notice what you think could be a mistake, please document your thinking for partial credit.
- We will not penalize small syntactic issues (judged by instructor); you should document all of your relevant thoughts for potential partial credit—we will grade as consistently as possible, and will attempt to award partial credit when possible.

Problem	Points	Score
1	3	
2	4	
3	3	
4	4	
5	3	
6	2	
7	4	
8	2	
Total:	25	

1. (3 points) Define the function  $g$  by the following identity:  $((g f) x) = (f (f x))$   
Write a definition of  $g$  as a Racket lambda (you can use either the word `lambda` or `λ`):

```
(define g (lambda (f) ...))
```

2. (4 points) Consider the  $\lambda$ -calculus term  $\left( (\lambda(x) (\lambda(z) k)) ((\lambda(x)(x x)) (\lambda(l)(l l))) \right)$ . The term has two  $\beta$ -redexes: perform both of them, and show the result of each of the two  $\beta$  reductions.

3. (3 points) The following is a direct-style (non-tail-recursive) Racket function:

```
(define (sum-em l f)
  (if (empty? l)
      0
      (+ (sum-em (rest l) f)
         (if (f (first l)) (first l) 0))))
```

Rewrite the function so that it is *tail-recursive*. (Essential approach: create a helper function that takes an extra `acc` argument. Make sure the helper function calls itself only in tail position!)

4. (4 points) Consider a  $\lambda$ -calculus term  $e$ . Assume that  $e \xRightarrow{\eta, \alpha, \beta}^* v$  (i.e., “ $e$  reduces in multiple steps of  $\eta$ ,  $\alpha$ , or  $\beta$  to  $v$ ) where  $v$  is in  $\beta$ -normal form, and also that  $e \xRightarrow{\eta, \alpha, \beta}^* v'$  where  $v'$  is also in  $\beta$ -normal form. **Please answer both (a)** are  $v$  and  $v'$  identical terms?; **(b)** are they  $\alpha$ -equivalent?; and, **(c)** why or why not?

5. (3 points) Consider both `foldl` and `foldr`:

```
(define (foldr f i l)
  (match l
    ['() i]
    [ '(,hd ,tl ...) (f hd (foldr f i tl))]))
(define (foldl f acc l)
  (match l
    ['() acc]
    [ '(,hd ,tl ...) (foldl f (f hd acc) tl)]))
```

Consider that  $f$  is a function which is commutative and also associative, i.e.,  $(f x y) = (f y x)$  and  $(f (f x y) z) = (f x (f y z))$ . Does `(foldr f i l) = (foldl f i l)`? You must briefly **explain** your answer (correct answer with no explanation will get **1/3** points).

6. (2 points) Assume  $e$  is a term in the  $\lambda$ -calculus. Using a call-by-name evaluation strategy, it reduces to  $\beta$ -normal form  $v$ . Consider every other reduction sequence starting from  $e \implies e'$ —do all of them terminate? Why or why not?

7. (4 points) Consider the following system, specified via natural deduction:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\#t \Downarrow \#t} \text{E-TRUE} \qquad \frac{}{\#f \Downarrow \#f} \text{E-FALSE} \qquad \frac{e \Downarrow \#t}{(\text{not } e) \Downarrow \#f} \text{E-NOTTRUE} \\
 \\
 \frac{e \Downarrow \#f}{(\text{not } e) \Downarrow \#t} \text{E-NOTFALSE} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow \#t \quad e_2 \Downarrow v}{(\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) \Downarrow v} \text{E-IFTRUE} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow \#f \quad e_3 \Downarrow v}{(\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) \Downarrow v} \text{E-IFFALSE}
 \end{array}$$

Give a derivation proving that  $(\text{if } (\text{not } \#t) \ \#t \ \#f) \Downarrow \#f$ .

8. (2 points) In the context of functional programming (in Racket and similar languages), explain (1-2 sentences) the difference between a *lambda* and a *closure*.